

---

## Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Preface</b>   | v  |
| Session 1<br>Scope, Interpretation and Residence   |    |
| <b>Chapter 1: Australia: Attribution of Income</b><br><i>Graeme Cooper</i>   | 3  |
| 1.1. Introduction  | 3  |
| 1.2. Facts of the case   | 4  |
| 1.3. The Court's decision  | 7  |
| 1.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 7  |
| 1.5. Conclusion  | 9  |
| <b>Chapter 2: Belgium: Interpretation of the Government<br/>Services Article, Delineation with the Employment<br/>Income Article</b><br><i>Luc De Broe</i> | 11 |
| 2.1. Introduction  | 11 |
| 2.2. Facts of the case   | 11 |
| 2.3. The Court's decisions   | 14 |
| 2.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 14 |
| 2.5. Conclusion  | 18 |
| <b>Chapter 3: Belgium: Employment Agreement for Purposes of<br/>Article 15 of the OECD Model</b><br><i>Luc De Broe</i>                                     | 21 |
| 3.1. Introduction  | 21 |
| 3.2. Facts of the case   | 21 |
| 3.3. The Court's decision  | 22 |
| 3.4. Comments on the Court's decision  | 25 |
| 3.5. Conclusion  | 29 |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>Chapter 4: South Africa: Transfer of Seat and Exit Taxation: Treaty Override?</b> | <b>31</b> |
| <i>Craig West and Jennifer Roeleveld</i>   |           |
| 4.1. Introduction  | 31        |
| 4.2. Facts of the case   | 31        |
| 4.2.1. Facts common to both courts   | 31        |
| 4.2.2. Facts exclusively mentioned before the Tax Court                              | 32        |
| 4.2.3. Relevant domestic legislation   | 32        |
| 4.3. The Courts' decisions   | 34        |
| 4.3.1. The Tax Court   | 34        |
| 4.3.1.1. Grounds for Tradehold's appeal before the Tax Court                         | 34        |
| 4.3.1.2. Decision of the Tax Court   | 34        |
| 4.3.1.3. Grounds for appeal by the Commissioner before the Supreme Court             | 35        |
| 4.3.1.4. Decision of the Supreme Court of Appeal                                     | 36        |
| 4.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 37        |
| 4.4.1. Assuming a deemed disposition date of 25/26 February 2003                     | 37        |
| 4.4.2. Assuming a deemed disposition date of 1/2 July 2002                           | 38        |
| 4.4.3. The excluded permanent establishment argument                                 | 39        |
| 4.4.4. Ministerial reaction  | 39        |
| 4.4.5. Proposed amendments   | 42        |
| 4.5. Conclusion  | 43        |
| <b>Chapter 5: Switzerland: Tax Treaty Residence and Tax Liability</b>                | <b>45</b> |
| <i>Daniel de Vries Reilingh</i>  |           |
| 5.1. Introduction  | 45        |
| 5.2. Facts of the case   | 45        |
| 5.3. The Court's decision  | 46        |
| 5.3.1. The Court's reasoning   | 46        |
| 5.3.1.1. In general  | 46        |
| 5.3.1.2. Territorial scope of the Switzerland-Portugal treaty                        | 46        |
| 5.3.1.3. Personal scope of the Switzerland-Portugal treaty                           | 46        |
| 5.3.2. The Court's reasoning applicable to Company X (resident of Madeira/Portugal)  | 48        |
| 5.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning and conclusion                                | 51        |
| 5.4.1. With regard to the term "resident"  | 51        |

|   |   |    |
|---|---|----|
| 5.4.2.  | With regard to the Switzerland-EU Savings Agreement   | 51 |
| <p>Session 2<br/>Permanent Establishment</p>            |   |    |
| <b>Chapter 6:</b>                                       | <b>Czech Republic: Service Permanent Establishment</b><br><i>Danuše Nerudová</i>            | 55 |
| 6.1.  | Introduction  | 55 |
| 6.2.  | Facts of the case   | 55 |
| 6.3.  | The Court’s decision  | 57 |
| 6.4.  | Comments on the Court’s reasoning   | 60 |
| 6.5.  | Conclusion  | 62 |
| <b>Chapter 7:</b>                                       | <b>Greece: Construction Permanent Establishment</b><br><i>Katerina Perrou</i>               | 63 |
| 7.1.  | Introduction  | 63 |
| 7.2.  | Facts of the case   | 63 |
| 7.3.  | The Court’s decision  | 64 |
| 7.4.  | Comments on the Court’s reasoning   | 66 |
| 7.5.  | Conclusion  | 68 |
| <b>Chapter 8:</b>                                       | <b>Norway: Agency Permanent Establishment</b><br><i>Frederik Zimmer</i>                     | 69 |
| 8.1.  | Introduction  | 69 |
| 8.2.  | Facts of the case   | 69 |
| 8.3.  | The Court’s reasoning   | 71 |
| 8.4.  | Comments on the Court’s reasoning   | 73 |
| 8.5.  | Conclusion  | 75 |
| <p>Session 3<br/>Business Profits and Capital Gains</p> |   |    |
| <b>Chapter 9:</b>                                       | <b>France: Taxation of Partnerships: France versus the OECD</b><br><i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i> | 79 |
| 9.1.  | Introduction  | 79 |
| 9.1.1.  | Concepts of “partnership”   | 80 |

## Table of Contents

---

|   |  |     |
|---|--|-----|
| 9.1.2.  | Issues with respect to tax treaties                              | 81  |
| 9.1.2.1.  | The problem  | 81  |
| 9.1.2.2.  | Case law solutions   | 82  |
| 9.2.  | Facts of the case  | 84  |
| 9.3.  | The Court's decision   | 85  |
| 9.3.1.  | National law   | 85  |
| 9.3.2.  | The principle of subsidiarity                                    | 86  |
| 9.3.3.  | Application to the case  | 86  |
| 9.3.4.  | Merits of the case   | 87  |
| 9.4.  | Comments on the Court's reasoning                                | 88  |
| 9.4.1.  | An expected clarification  | 88  |
| 9.4.2.  | Legal and institutional requirements                             | 88  |
| 9.4.3.  | Potential impact of the Court's decision for other jurisdictions | 90  |
| 9.5.  | Conclusion   | 91  |
| <b>Chapter 10: Germany: Interpretation of the Term "Business Income" under an Income Tax Treaty</b> |  | 93  |
| <i>Alexander Rust</i>   |  |     |
| 10.1.   | Introduction   | 93  |
| 10.2.   | Case 1: Federal Tax Court, 4 May 2011, II R 51/09                | 94  |
| 10.2.1.   | Facts of the case  | 94  |
| 10.2.2.   | The Court's decision   | 95  |
| 10.3.   | Case 2: Federal Tax Court, 25 May 2011, I R 95/10                | 96  |
| 10.3.1.   | Facts of the case  | 96  |
| 10.3.2.   | The Court's decision   | 98  |
| 10.4.   | Comments on the Court's reasoning                                | 99  |
| 10.5.   | Conclusion   | 101 |
| <b>Chapter 11: Kazakhstan: Allocation of Head Office Expenses to Permanent Establishments</b>       |  | 103 |
| <i>Tomas Balco</i>  |  |     |
| 11.1.   | Introduction   | 103 |
| 11.2.   | Facts of the cases   | 104 |
| 11.2.1.   | <i>Halliburton</i> case  | 104 |
| 11.2.2.   | <i>KCE Deutag</i> case   | 104 |
| 11.2.3.   | Applicable law   | 105 |
| 11.3.   | The Courts' decisions  | 106 |
| 11.3.1.   | <i>Halliburton</i> case  | 106 |
| 11.3.2.   | <i>KCA Deutag</i> case   | 107 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 11.4. Comments on the Courts' reasoning   | 108        |
| 11.4.1. <i>Halliburton</i> case   | 108        |
| 11.4.2. <i>KCA Deutag</i> case  | 108        |
| 11.5. Conclusion  | 108        |
| Annex 1 – Relevant Provisions of the Tax Code   | 110        |
| Annex 2 – Relevant Tax Treaty Provisions  | 112        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 12: Australia: Transfer Pricing</b>  | <b>115</b> |
| <i>Graeme Cooper</i>  |            |
| <br>  |            |
| 12.1. Introduction  | 115        |
| 12.2. Facts of the case   | 116        |
| 12.3. The Court's decision  | 117        |
| 12.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 118        |
| 12.5. Conclusion  | 123        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 13: Canada: Capital Gains</b>  | <b>125</b> |
| <i>Jacques Sasseville</i>   |            |
| <br>  |            |
| 13.1. Introduction  | 125        |
| 13.2. Facts of the case   | 126        |
| 13.3. The Court's decision  | 129        |
| 13.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 134        |
| 13.5. Conclusion  | 135        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 14: India: Capital Gains and Tax Avoidance</b>                               | <b>137</b> |
| <i>Porus F. Kaka</i>  |            |
| <br>  |            |
| 14.1. Introduction  | 137        |
| 14.2. Facts of the case   | 138        |
| 14.3. The Court's decision  | 139        |
| 14.3.1. Tax avoidance and evasion   | 140        |
| 14.3.2. Holding and subsidiary structures   | 140        |
| 14.3.3. Whether section 9(1)(i) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 is a look-through provision | 141        |
| 14.3.4. Role and situs of the shares of CGP   | 142        |
| 14.3.5. Approach of the High Court vis-à-vis other rights and entitlements              | 142        |
| 14.3.6. Applicability of withholding provisions   | 143        |
| 14.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 144        |
| 14.5. Conclusion  | 144        |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Session 4  |     |
| Dividends, Interest and Beneficial Ownership   |     |
| <b>Chapter 15: Turkey: The Concept of Dividends</b>  | 149 |
| <i>Hakan Üzeltürk</i>  |     |
| 15.1. Introduction   | 149 |
| 15.2. Facts of the case  | 150 |
| 15.3. The Council of State's decision  | 151 |
| 15.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 154 |
| 15.5. Conclusion   | 156 |
| <b>Chapter 16: Czech Republic: Beneficial Ownership</b>  | 159 |
| <i>Danuše Nerudová</i>   |     |
| 16.1. Introduction   | 159 |
| 16.2. Facts of the case  | 159 |
| 16.3. The Court's decision   | 161 |
| 16.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 163 |
| 16.5. Conclusion   | 165 |
| <b>Chapter 17: Denmark: Beneficial Owner – Article 10(2) of the Denmark-Luxembourg Income Tax Treaty of 1980</b> | 167 |
| <i>Søren Friis Hansen</i>  |     |
| 17.1. The case   | 167 |
| 17.2. Facts of the case  | 167 |
| 17.2.1. Factual background and the legal base  | 167 |
| 17.2.2. Procedure before the Eastern High Court  | 168 |
| 17.3. The Court's decision   | 170 |
| 17.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 171 |
| 17.5. Conclusion   | 172 |
| <b>Chapter 18: Switzerland: Notion of Beneficial Ownership</b>   | 173 |
| <i>Claudia Suter</i>   |     |
| 18.1. Introduction   | 173 |
| 18.2. Facts of the case  | 173 |
| 18.3. The Court's decision   | 174 |
| 18.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 177 |
| 18.5. Conclusion   | 180 |

Session 5  
Royalties and Labour Income

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Chapter 19: Portugal: The Meaning of Royalties and Payments for Software</b>                | <b>185</b> |
| <i>João Félix Pinto Nogueira</i>   |            |
| 19.1. Introduction   | 185        |
| 19.2. Facts of the case  | 186        |
| 19.2.1. Fact pattern   | 186        |
| 19.2.2. Applicable law   | 187        |
| 19.2.3. Position of the tax authorities  | 189        |
| 19.2.4. Position of the Portuguese company   | 190        |
| 19.2.5. Decision of the lower court  | 191        |
| 19.2.6. Position of the public prosecutor before the Supreme Court                             | 191        |
| 19.3. The Court's decision   | 192        |
| 19.3.1. Overview   | 192        |
| 19.3.2. Legal value of bilateral treaties, models and commentaries                             | 192        |
| 19.3.3. Domestic legal framework applicable to the income                                      | 194        |
| 19.3.4. The treaty framework of the income   | 194        |
| 19.3.4.1. Non-applicability of the reservation   | 194        |
| 19.3.4.2. Concept of royalties before 1992   | 194        |
| 19.3.4.3. Concept of royalties in the Commentary to the OECD Model                             | 195        |
| 19.3.5. Between reservations and observations  | 196        |
| 19.3.6. The Court's decision   | 196        |
| 19.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 197        |
| 19.4.1. Overview   | 197        |
| 19.4.2. Comments on the Court's <i>obiter dicta</i>  | 197        |
| 19.4.3. Sequence of the Court's reasoning  | 199        |
| 19.4.4. Legal value of the 1992 reservation in itself  | 199        |
| 19.4.5. Scope of the treaty definition of income and its applicability in the case of software | 201        |
| 19.4.6. Between a reservation and a observation  | 206        |
| 19.5. Conclusion   | 209        |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Chapter 20: Australia: The Meaning of Royalty and Software License Agreement</b>   | 213 |
| <i>Graeme Cooper</i>  |     |
| 20.1. Introduction  | 213 |
| 20.2. Facts of the case   | 214 |
| 20.3. The Court's decision  | 218 |
| 20.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 219 |
| 20.5. Conclusion  | 222 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>Chapter 21: United States: Professional Golfer – The Classification of Endorsement Fees</b>  | 225 |
| <i>Yariv Brauner</i>  |     |
| 21.1. Introduction  | 225 |
| 21.2. Facts of the case   | 225 |
| 21.3. The Court's decision  | 226 |
| 21.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 229 |
| 21.5. Conclusion  | 230 |
| <br>  |     |
| <b>Chapter 22: Netherlands: Exit Taxation and Pensions: Tax Treaty Override?</b>  | 231 |
| <i>Eric C.C.M. Kemmeren</i>   |     |
| 22.1. Introduction  | 231 |
| 22.2. Facts of the case   | 232 |
| 22.3. The Court's decision  | 232 |
| 22.3.1. National tax law  | 232 |
| 22.3.2. Tax treaty law  | 233 |
| 22.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 236 |
| 22.4.1. Ruling is inconsistent with rationale and structure of tax treaties   | 236 |
| 22.4.2. Tax treaty partner's knowledge on introduction of protective tax assessment not relevant for determination of (tax) treaty override | 239 |
| 22.4.3. 2001 Exit tax on pensions is always inconsistent with provisions similar to article 18 of the OECD Model                            | 241 |
| 22.4.4. 2009 Exit tax on pensions is always inconsistent with provisions similar to article 18 of the OECD Model                            | 243 |



|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 22.4.5. Whether exit tax on pensions is always inconsistent with treaty provisions if pension claims have been placed outside non-EU/EEA Member States? | 246 |
| 22.4.6. Exit tax on pensions does not add value and can be repealed   | 247 |
| 22.4.7. Potential impact on other jurisdictions   | 249 |
| 22.5. Conclusion  | 250 |

Session 6

Avoidance of Double Taxation and Mutual Assistance

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>Chapter 23: Finland: The Effect of Losses on the Foreign Tax Credit</b> | 255 |
| <i>Marjaana Helminen</i>   |     |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 23.1. Introduction                       | 255 |
| 23.2. Facts of the case                  | 255 |
| 23.3. The Court's decision               | 256 |
| 23.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 257 |
| 23.4.1. Finland-United States tax treaty | 257 |
| 23.4.2. Relevance of EU law              | 260 |
| 23.5. Conclusion                         | 264 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>Chapter 24: France: Lump Sum Tax Credit – An Exemption of Income or a Tax Credit Technique?</b> | 265 |
| <i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i>   |     |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 24.1. Introduction   | 265 |
| 24.1.1. Notions of tax credit  | 265 |
| 24.1.2. Issues with respect to tax treaties                                | 266 |
| 24.2. Facts of the case  | 268 |
| 24.3. The Court's decision   | 269 |
| 24.3.1. Treaty principles  | 270 |
| 24.3.2. Treaty interpretation  | 270 |
| 24.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning                                    | 270 |
| 24.4.1. Affirmation of a literal interpretation                            | 271 |
| 24.4.1.1. Implementation of a lump sum tax credit                          | 272 |
| 24.4.1.2. Potential impact of the Court's decision for other jurisdictions | 273 |
| 24.5. Conclusion   | 273 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Chapter 25: Netherlands: Interest Income and the Impact of an Exchange Loss on the Calculation of the Tax Credit</b> | 275 |
| <i>Daniël S. Smit</i>   |     |
| 25.1. Introduction  | 275 |
| 25.2. Facts of the case   | 276 |
| 25.3. The Courts' decisions   | 278 |
| 25.3.1. Decision of the Law Court of Haarlem dated 27 March 2008  | 278 |
| 25.3.2. Decision of the Court of Appeal of Amsterdam dated 26 November 2009   | 280 |
| 25.3.3. Opinion of the Advocate General dated 10 December 2010  | 282 |
| 25.3.4. Dutch Supreme Court Decision 17 June 2011, BNB 2012/23  | 283 |
| 25.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 283 |
| 25.5. Conclusion  | 287 |
| <b>Chapter 26: South Africa: Exchange of Information under an Income Tax Treaty</b>                                     | 289 |
| <i>Jennifer Roeleveld and Craig West</i>  |     |
| 26.1. Introduction  | 289 |
| 26.2. Facts of the case   | 289 |
| 26.3. The Court's decision  | 291 |
| 26.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 292 |
| 26.5. Conclusion  | 293 |
| <b>Chapter 27: United States: Exchange of Information and Taxpayer Protection</b>                                       | 295 |
| <i>Yariv Brauner</i>  |     |
| 27.1. Introduction  | 295 |
| 27.2. Facts of the case   | 295 |
| 27.3. The Court's decision  | 296 |
| 27.4. Comments on the Court's decision  | 298 |
| 27.5. Conclusion  | 299 |

Session 7  
Non-Discrimination

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| <b>Chapter 28: Russia: Thin Capitalization Rules and Non-Discrimination Clause</b>                 | <b>303</b>     |
| <i>Danil V. Vinnitskiy</i>   |                |
| 28.1. Introduction   | 303            |
| 28.2. Facts of the case  | 306            |
| 28.3. The Court's decision   | 309            |
| 28.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 311            |
| 28.4.1. Thin capitalization rules and the arm's length standard                                    | 312            |
| 28.4.2. Criteria of comparability of tax treatment and a non-discrimination clause                 | 313            |
| 28.4.3. General anti-avoidance provision   | 316            |
| 28.5. Conclusion   | 317            |
| <br><b>Chapter 29: Spain: Thin Capitalization Rules in Light of Spanish Double Tax Conventions</b> | <br><b>319</b> |
| <i>José Manuel Almudí Cid</i>  |                |
| 29.1. Introduction   | 319            |
| 29.2. Facts of the case  | 320            |
| 29.3. The Court's decisions and comments thereon   | 321            |
| 29.4. Conclusion   | 325            |
| <br><b>Chapter 30: Sweden: Non-Deductibility of Interest Payments</b>                              | <br><b>329</b> |
| <i>Bertil Wiman</i>  |                |
| 30.1. Introduction   | 329            |
| 30.2. Facts of the case  | 329            |
| 30.3. The Court's decision   | 331            |
| 30.3.1. Generally  | 331            |
| 30.3.2. Public statement by the Swedish Tax Agency   | 332            |
| 30.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning  | 334            |
| 30.5. Conclusion   | 336            |
| <br><b>Chapter 31: Canada: Transfer of Losses</b>  | <br><b>339</b> |
| <i>Jacques Sasseville</i>  |                |
| 31.1. Introduction   | 339            |

## Table of Contents

---

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 31.2. Facts of the case   | 339        |
| 31.3. The Courts' decisions   | 341        |
| 31.3.1. Tax Court of Canada   | 341        |
| 31.3.2. Federal Court of Appeal   | 346        |
| 31.4. Comments on the Courts' reasoning   | 346        |
| 31.5. Conclusion  | 348        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 32: United Kingdom: Group Relief</b>   | <b>351</b> |
| <i>Philip Baker</i>   |            |
| <br>  |            |
| 32.1. <i>FCE Bank Plc v. HMRC</i>   | 351        |
| 32.1.1. Facts of the case   | 351        |
| 32.1.2. The Court's decision  | 353        |
| 32.1.3. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 353        |
| 32.2. <i>Felixstowe Dock and Railway Company v. Revenue and<br/>    Customs Commissioners</i> | 354        |
| 32.2.1. Introduction  | 354        |
| 32.2.2. Facts of the case   | 355        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 33: Germany: Cross-Border Group Consolidation</b>                                  | <b>357</b> |
| <i>Alexander Rust</i>   |            |
| <br>  |            |
| 33.1. Introduction  | 357        |
| 33.2. Facts of the case   | 358        |
| 33.3. The Court's decision  | 359        |
| 33.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning   | 362        |
| 33.5. Conclusions   | 363        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>List of Contributors</b>   | <b>365</b> |